

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/016,903	12/14/2001	Dictmar Huglin	HP/5-21550/A/CONT	7656
7590 02/20/2004			EXAMINER	
JoAnn Vilamizar			KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S	
Ciba Specialty Chemicals Corporation 540 White Plains Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 2005			1615	
Tarrytown, NY 10591-9005			DATE MAIL ED: 02/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Paper No. 20040217

Application Number: 10/016,903 Filing Date: December 14, 2001 Appellant(s): HUGLIN ET AL.

MAILED

FEB 2 0 2004

GROUP 2500

Kevin T. Mansfield For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER** 

This is in response to the appeal brief filed 10-21-03.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,903 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

#### (1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

#### (2) Related Appeals and Interferences

A statement identifying the related appeals and interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the decision in the pending appeal is contained in the brief.

#### (3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of the claims contained in the brief is correct.

#### (4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

# (5) Summary of Invention

The summary of invention contained in the brief is correct.

## (6) Issues

The appellant's statement of the issues in the brief is substantially correct. The changes are as follows:

Upon consideration, the following rejections were withdrawn:

- 1) 112, second paragraph rejection of claims 32-33 and 35-43;
- 2) 102 rejections of claims 32-33 and 35-43 based on EP 349150, EP 711557 and WO 96/371192;
- 3) 103 rejection of claims 32-33 and 35-43 over EP 711 557, WO 96/37192 and WO 97/21428.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,903

Art Unit: 1615

The only rejection remaining is the 103 rejection of claims 32-33 and 35-43 over EP 0349 150.

## (7) Grouping of Claims:

Claims 32-33 and 35-43 stand or fall together (last two lines on page 4 of appellant's brief.

# (8) Claims Appealed

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

#### (9) Prior Art of Record

EP 0 349 150 A2

SHISEIDO COMPANY

1-1990

LIMITED

# (10) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

1. Claims 32-33 and 35-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0349150.

EP discloses instant formulations; the method of preparation involves mixing the lipophilic phase with the aqueous phase in a homomixer The formulations contain a phospholipid, a polyoxyethylene co emulsifier, an oil (triglyceride) and a lower alcohol, ethanol production (note pages 3-4, Examples and claims). As seen from the examples, water phase is added to the lipophilic phase as opposed to instant method wherein the lipophilic phase is added to the water phase. However, in view of the final goal of mixing two phases in the prior art and in instant invention, it is deemed that the order of adding

Application/Control Number: 10/016,903

Art Unit: 1615

plication/Control Number: 10/010,90

the two phases obvious manipulatable parameters. What is lacking in EP is the teaching of the entire claimed range of the claimed components. However, it is deemed obvious to vary the amounts of the components of the prior art with the expectation of obtaining the best possible results since the prior art cited provides guidance to one of ordinary skill in the art as to how to prepare the compositions.

Appellant's arguments have been fully considered, but are not found to be persuasive. Appellant argues that in EP, the water phase is added to the lipophilic phase as opposed to instant method wherein lipophilic phase is added to

the water phase. This argument is not found to be persuasive. It is the examiner's position that whether water phase is added to the lipophilic phase or the lipophilic phase is added to water phase, the result, that is, mixing of the two phases would be the same. This step is deemed to be an obvious manipulatable step. Appellant argues that EP uses a homomixer. The examiner points out that instant claim 32 recites 'conventional stirring apparatus' and appellant has not shown a homomixer is not a conventional stirring apparatus. It is the position of the examiner that as the name 'homomixer' suggests it is an apparatus, which mixes two or more phases to form a homogenous mixture. Appellant argues that EP teaches pressure emulsification (after mixing with a homomixer) using Manton Gaulin. Appellant further argues that it is well known that Manton Gaulin is a high-pressure nozzle homogenizer, which generates intense shear.

Arguments are not found to be persuasive since EP on page 4, lines 29-35 states "The transparent composition of the present invention can be obtained

Application/Control Number: 10/016,903

Art Unit: 1615

by treating a mixed dispersion containing the above-mentioned essential components in

an emulsifier, such as a homomixer, conventionally used in the production of cosmetics.

The transparency, safely, and stability of the transparent composition according to the

present invention can be further improved when the mixture is treated in an emulsifier

capable of providing a stronger shearing force than a conventional homomixer". Thus, it

is clear from the reference that high shear forces are not necessary if the improvement

is not desired.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

Gollamudi S Kishore, PhD

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 1615

**GSK** 

February 18, 2004

Conferee

1) Carlos Azpuri

CARLOS A. AZPURU

PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1500

2) James Spear

JAMES M. SPEAR

PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU 1615

JoAnn Vilamizar

Ciba Specialty Chemicals Corporation

540 White Plains Road

P.O. Box 2005

Tarrytown, NY 10591-9005